

# Plant sterol intakes and colorectal cancer risk in the Netherlands Cohort Study on Diet and Cancer.

Citation for published version (APA):

Normen, A. L., Brants, H. A. M., Voorrips, L. E., Andersson, H. A., van den Brandt, P. A., & Goldbohm, R. A. (2001). Plant sterol intakes and colorectal cancer risk in the Netherlands Cohort Study on Diet and Cancer. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 74, 141-148. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/74.1.141>

## Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2001

## DOI:

[10.1093/ajcn/74.1.141](https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/74.1.141)

## Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

## Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

## General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

[www.umlib.nl/taverne-license](http://www.umlib.nl/taverne-license)

## Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

[repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl](mailto:repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl)

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Download date: 05 May. 2023

# Plant sterol intakes and colorectal cancer risk in the Netherlands Cohort Study on Diet and Cancer<sup>1-3</sup>

A Lena Normén, Henny AM Brants, Laura E Voorrips, Henrik A Andersson, Piet A van den Brandt, and R Alexandra Goldbohm

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Plant sterols in vegetable foods might prevent colorectal cancer.

**Objective:** The objective was to study plant sterol intakes in relation to colorectal cancer risk in an epidemiologic study.

**Design:** The study was performed within the framework of the Netherlands Cohort Study on Diet and Cancer in 120852 subjects who completed a baseline questionnaire in 1986. After 6.3 y of follow-up, 620 colon and 344 rectal cancer cases were detected. A case-cohort approach was used to calculate confounder-adjusted rate ratios (RRs) and their 95% CIs for quintiles of plant sterol intake.

**Results:** The total mean ( $\pm$ SD) intake of campesterol, stigmasterol,  $\beta$ -sitosterol, campestanol, and  $\beta$ -sitostanol was  $285 \pm 97$  mg/d. Major contributors to plant sterol intake were bread (38%), vegetable fats (26%), and fruit and vegetables (21%). For men, there was no clear association between intake of any of the plant sterols and colon cancer risk when age, smoking, alcohol use, family history of colorectal cancer, education level, and cholecystectomy were controlled for. Adjustment for energy did not alter the result. For rectal cancer, adjustment for energy resulted in positive associations between risk and campesterol and stigmasterol intakes. For women, there was no clear association between intake of any of the plant sterols and colorectal cancer risk.

**Conclusion:** A high dietary intake of plant sterols was not associated with a lower risk of colon and rectal cancers in the Netherlands Cohort Study on Diet and Cancer. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2001;74:141-8.

**KEY WORDS** Plant sterols, phytosterols, colon cancer, rectal cancer, bread, vegetable fat, prospective study, Netherlands Cohort Study on Diet and Cancer

## INTRODUCTION

Plant sterols are bioactive components of all foods of vegetable origin (1). They are 28- or 29-carbon alcohols that are structurally similar to the 27-carbon alcohol cholesterol (2). The dominant dietary plant sterols  $\beta$ -sitosterol, campesterol, and stigmasterol are classified as 4-desmethylsterols of the cholestane series, all of which have double bonds at the C-5 position of the ring (3). In certain structures this double bond is saturated and these compounds are referred to as plant stanols. Examples of

See corresponding editorial on page 4.

stanols are  $\beta$ -sitostanol and campestanol, which exist in quantifiable amounts in cereals and fruit and vegetables, but generally in lower concentrations than the unsaturated plant sterols (4).

Compared with the general population, Seventh-day Adventists have lower rates of cancer at many sites (including colorectal cancer), higher dietary intakes of plant sterols, and a lower bile acid excretion (5). Because bile acids were reported to be tumor promoters in colon cancer (6), it was hypothesized that decreased bile acid excretion is a physiologic response to high intakes of plant sterols (7). Supplementation with plant sterols in sterol balance studies, however, gave conflicting results (8-13). Thus, strong support for decreased bile acid excretion induced by a higher intake of plant sterols was not shown, even though the small sample size and the lack of controlled dietary intervention studies made it difficult to rule out the possibility. Studies in rats showed evidence of an alternative explanation to a preventive effect of plant sterols. Rats that consumed an experimental diet mixed with  $\beta$ -sitosterol and the chemical carcinogen methylnitrosurea had a significantly lower incidence of tumors than did controls who consumed the carcinogen only (14). This was found despite no differences in bile acid excretion between the 2 groups. Because it is not clear whether  $\beta$ -sitosterol exerted its effect without the carcinogen, Janezic and Rao (15) studied the effect of a diet enriched with sitosterol on colonic mucosal cell proliferation in mice. There was a dose-dependent decrease in cell proliferation during controlled cholic acid supplementation after increasing doses of  $\beta$ -sitosterol. This finding was confirmed by Awad et al (16), who found significantly lower cell proliferation in rats fed a mixture of cholic acid and plant sterols than in control rats fed cholic acid only. Moreover, the growth

<sup>1</sup>From the Department of Clinical Nutrition, Göteborg University, Göteborg, Sweden; the Department of Nutritional Epidemiology, TNO Nutrition and Food Research Institute, Zeist, Netherlands; and the Department of Epidemiology, Maastricht University, Maastricht, Netherlands.

<sup>2</sup>Supported by grants from the Swedish Cancer Foundation, the Dutch Cancer Society, and the Dutch Product Board for Horticulture.

<sup>3</sup>Reprints not available. Address correspondence to RA Goldbohm, TNO Nutrition and Food Research Institute, Utrechtsweg 48, NL-3700 Zeist, Netherlands. E-mail: bausch@voeding.tno.nl.

Received May 25, 2000.

Accepted for publication February 1, 2001.

of human cancer cells in culture (17) and when xenografted in mice (18) was inhibited by plant sterols.

No prospective epidemiologic studies of plant sterol intake in relation to colorectal cancer have been conducted. It is hypothesized that humans with a high intake of dietary plant sterols, especially  $\beta$ -sitosterol, have a decreased risk of colon and rectal cancers. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the association between different plant sterol intakes and risk of colorectal cancer in a prospective cohort study.

## SUBJECTS AND METHODS

### Study population and follow-up of cancer

The investigation was performed within the framework of the Netherlands Cohort Study on Diet and Cancer (19), the original aim of which was to study the association between dietary factors and the development of cancer. The cohort consisted of 120 852 subjects (48% men and 52% women) aged 55–69 y who completed a baseline questionnaire in 1986. A case-cohort approach was used for data processing and analysis. Case subjects were thereby enumerated from the entire cohort, whereas the person-years at risk were estimated from a random sample of 3500 subjects (1688 men and 1812 women). This subcohort was chosen immediately after the baseline exposure measurements were made and no subcohort member was lost to follow-up. The study design was described in detail elsewhere (19). The design used is effective for reducing costs in large cohort studies because exposure information collected for the entire cohort is processed for the cases and the subcohort only.

Follow-up of colon and rectal cancers was established by using a combination of a computerized linkage system to all 9 cancer registries in the Netherlands and a nationwide pathology database (20). The follow-up was restricted to the period from baseline to December 1992, a total of 6.3 y. Completeness of the follow-up was estimated to be >96% (21). After exclusion of all prevalent cancer cases other than nonmelanoma skin cancer, a total of 620 colon (332 men and 288 women) and 344 rectal (217 men and 127 women) cancer cases was detected in the entire cohort of 3346 remaining subcohort subjects. Furthermore, subjects with incomplete and inconsistent dietary data were not included in the analysis, resulting in a final subcohort of 3123 subjects (1525 men and 1598 women), 574 colon cancer cases (303 men and 271 women), and 317 rectal cancer cases (201 men and 116 women).

### Semiquantitative food-frequency questionnaire

The subjects completed a semiquantitative food-frequency questionnaire that included 150 food items and covered subjects' food habits during the year before the start of the study. The principal nutrients of interest were energy, protein, fat, cholesterol, carbohydrates, dietary fiber, alcohol, vitamin A,  $\beta$ -carotene, and vitamin C. Intake of dietary plant sterols was covered by questions on fruit, vegetables, potatoes, bread, grains, grain products, cakes, cookies, chocolate, nuts, seeds, peanut butter, and vegetable fats. Moreover, details of types and brands of vegetable fats and oils used in cooking as spreads and as salad dressings proved useful for estimating plant sterol intakes. Daily mean nutrient intakes were calculated by using the computerized Dutch food-composition table of 1986 (22).

The questionnaire was validated and tested for reproducibility (23, 24). The results indicated that the questionnaire adequately

covered food groups such as bread and added fats. The questionnaire was especially reliable for indicating the type of fat intake because detailed brand information was included. The validation study of the questionnaire showed high correlation coefficients for polyunsaturated fat ( $r = 0.75$ ), dietary fiber ( $r = 0.74$ ), and bread ( $r = 0.80$ ) intakes (23). Misclassification of plant sterol intakes could not be ruled out. However, because plant sterols share their food sources with those of the other food components mentioned, the questionnaire likely adequately ranked subjects according to plant sterol intake.

### Preparation of the plant sterol database

Plant sterols in food were analyzed at the Department of Clinical Nutrition, Göteborg, Sweden. The analytic method used was a modification of the procedure used by Jonker et al (25), which involved acid hydrolysis, alkaline saponification, lipid extraction, silylation, detection, and quantification with gas-liquid chromatography. Five frequently occurring plant sterols were measured: the unsaturated plant sterols campesterol, stigmasterol, and  $\beta$ -sitosterol and the saturated plant stanols campestanol and  $\beta$ -sitostanol. The sterol content of 229 Swedish food items (78 vegetables, 41 fruit, 60 cereal products, 40 vegetable oils and margarines, and 10 types of cookies and cakes) corresponding to foods in the Swedish food-composition table was measured. To restrict the cost and duration of the study, the Swedish values for fruit and vegetables, cereal products, nuts, and some oils that were similar to Dutch food products were transferred to the Netherlands Cohort Study on Diet and Cancer database. In addition, Dutch food items with a high consumption or with an assumed high concentration of plant sterols (ie, food products with a high vegetable fat content) were analyzed. In total, 63 food items of Dutch origin were analyzed: 28 cooking fats and spreads, 4 vegetables, 12 bread and cake products, 4 mayonnaise products, and 15 other products. All fat samples, except 2 types of oils, were purchased in 1995, before the processing techniques for margarine were changed in Europe to minimize *trans* fatty acid concentrations.

The plant sterol content of all products of pure animal origin was set at zero, as was that of the following products: fruit and vegetable juices, alcoholic drinks, tea, coffee, cocoa drinks, sugar, honey, syrup, molasses, soy sauce, and licorice. The sterol content of food products not analyzed but likely to contain plant sterols was estimated in several ways. For vegetables, we used the cooked value if the raw value was missing and vice versa, without any corrections, because differences in concentrations between raw and boiled vegetables and fruit are small and not consistent (26). For fresh and dried fruit and raw and cooked cereals, the differences in water content were corrected for. The plant sterol content of certain bread products, cookies, pastries, mixed dishes, sweets, and other miscellaneous items was calculated from their ingredients according to common Dutch recipes. The database of plant sterol concentrations was linked to the questionnaire data in the same way as was the data from the Dutch food-composition table.

### Statistical analysis

Pearson's correlation coefficients between plant sterol concentrations and certain nutrient intakes were calculated with and without adjustment for energy. Data were analyzed with a case-cohort approach (27); exponentially distributed survival

**TABLE 1**Contribution of food groups to the intake of 5 different plant sterols by 3123 men and women of the subcohort<sup>1</sup>

|                                | Consumption   | Unsaturated     |                     |                | Saturated      |                     | Total                  |
|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|
|                                |               | Campesterol     | $\beta$ -Sitosterol | Stigmasterol   | Campestanol    | $\beta$ -Sitostanol |                        |
|                                | g/d           | mg/d            | mg/d                | mg/d           | mg/d           | mg/d                | mg/d                   |
| <b>Fruit</b>                   |               |                 |                     |                |                |                     |                        |
| Total                          | 176 $\pm$ 117 | 2.5 $\pm$ 2.1   | 25.3 $\pm$ 17.5     | 1.0 $\pm$ 0.8  | 0              | 0 $\pm$ 0.1         | 2.8 $\pm$ 20.0 [10]    |
| Citrus                         | 62 $\pm$ 64   | 1.9 $\pm$ 1.9   | 11.7 $\pm$ 12.3     | 0.6 $\pm$ 0.6  | 0              | 0                   | 14.2 $\pm$ 14.9 [5]    |
| Apples, pears                  | 87 $\pm$ 82   | 0.3 $\pm$ 0.3   | 9.5 $\pm$ 9.9       | 0.1 $\pm$ 0.1  | 0              | 0                   | 9.9 $\pm$ 10.3 [3]     |
| Other                          | 27 $\pm$ 32   | 0.3 $\pm$ 0.4   | 4.1 $\pm$ 4.2       | 0.3 $\pm$ 0.5  | 0              | 0 $\pm$ 0.1         | 4.7 $\pm$ 5.0 [2]      |
| <b>Vegetables</b>              |               |                 |                     |                |                |                     |                        |
| Total                          | 194 $\pm$ 83  | 4.8 $\pm$ 2.2   | 20.1 $\pm$ 9.0      | 5.4 $\pm$ 2.6  | 0.1 $\pm$ 0.1  | 0.5 $\pm$ 0.2       | 30.9 $\pm$ 13.5 [11]   |
| Cabbage                        | 7 $\pm$ 9     | 2.0 $\pm$ 1.3   | 6.9 $\pm$ 4.7       | 0.5 $\pm$ 0.3  | 0              | 0                   | 9.4 $\pm$ 6.3 [3]      |
| Legumes                        | 25 $\pm$ 19   | 0.5 $\pm$ 0.4   | 2.9 $\pm$ 2.3       | 2.0 $\pm$ 1.4  | 0              | 0.1 $\pm$ 0.1       | 5.5 $\pm$ 3.9 [2]      |
| Leafy                          | 33 $\pm$ 20   | 0.4 $\pm$ 0.3   | 3.6 $\pm$ 2.7       | 1.7 $\pm$ 1.3  | 0              | 0.1 $\pm$ 0         | 5.8 $\pm$ 4.4 [2]      |
| Other                          | 129 $\pm$ 59  | 1.9 $\pm$ 1.1   | 6.7 $\pm$ 3.7       | 1.2 $\pm$ 1.1  | 0.1 $\pm$ 0.1  | 0.3 $\pm$ 0.2       | 10.2 $\pm$ 5.6 [4]     |
| Potatoes                       | 125 $\pm$ 77  | 0.3 $\pm$ 0.2   | 3.5 $\pm$ 2.3       | 0.5 $\pm$ 0.4  | 0              | 0.7 $\pm$ 0.5       | 5.0 $\pm$ 3.3 [2]      |
| <b>Bread</b>                   |               |                 |                     |                |                |                     |                        |
| Total                          | 160 $\pm$ 67  | 20.5 $\pm$ 9.7  | 57.1 $\pm$ 27.0     | 3.3 $\pm$ 1.7  | 9.2 $\pm$ 5.2  | 11.3 $\pm$ 6.0      | 101.4 $\pm$ 49.3 [36]  |
| Whole-wheat bread              | 58 $\pm$ 73   | 9.8 $\pm$ 12.4  | 27.3 $\pm$ 34.4     | 1.7 $\pm$ 2.1  | 5.1 $\pm$ 6.4  | 6.0 $\pm$ 7.5       | 49.9 $\pm$ 62.8 [18]   |
| Brown bread                    | 65 $\pm$ 73   | 6.9 $\pm$ 7.8   | 19.6 $\pm$ 22.2     | 1.1 $\pm$ 1.3  | 3.2 $\pm$ 3.5  | 4.0 $\pm$ 4.6       | 34.8 $\pm$ 39.3 [12]   |
| White bread                    | 20 $\pm$ 46   | 2.0 $\pm$ 4.6   | 5.5 $\pm$ 12.3      | 0.2 $\pm$ 0.4  | 0.5 $\pm$ 1.1  | 0.6 $\pm$ 1.4       | 8.8 $\pm$ 19.8 [3]     |
| Rye bread                      | 8 $\pm$ 20    | 0.9 $\pm$ 2.3   | 2.2 $\pm$ 5.7       | 0.2 $\pm$ 0.4  | 0.2 $\pm$ 0.7  | 0.4 $\pm$ 1.0       | 3.9 $\pm$ 10.1 [1]     |
| Currant bread                  | 5 $\pm$ 9     | 0.3 $\pm$ 0.5   | 1.0 $\pm$ 2.0       | 0 $\pm$ 0.1    | 0.1 $\pm$ 0.1  | 0.1 $\pm$ 0.2       | 1.5 $\pm$ 2.9 [1]      |
| Other                          | 4 $\pm$ 7     | 0.6 $\pm$ 1.1   | 1.5 $\pm$ 2.6       | 0.1 $\pm$ 0.2  | 0.1 $\pm$ 0.2  | 0.2 $\pm$ 0.4       | 2.5 $\pm$ 4.5 [1]      |
| Other grain products           | 2 $\pm$ 7     | 0.7 $\pm$ 1.8   | 2.5 $\pm$ 5.9       | 0.2 $\pm$ 0.7  | 0.2 $\pm$ 0.5  | 0.2 $\pm$ 0.6       | 3.8 $\pm$ 9.1 [1]      |
| Cakes, cookies, and chocolate  | 30 $\pm$ 21   | 3.1 $\pm$ 2.1   | 9.3 $\pm$ 6.7       | 1.8 $\pm$ 1.7  | 0.4 $\pm$ 0.4  | 0.7 $\pm$ 0.6       | 15.3 $\pm$ 10.9 [5]    |
| Nuts, seeds, and peanut butter | 6 $\pm$ 13    | 1.5 $\pm$ 2.6   | 6.9 $\pm$ 12.2      | 1.1 $\pm$ 1.9  | 0              | 0.1 $\pm$ 0.2       | 9.6 $\pm$ 16.8 [3]     |
| <b>Fat</b>                     |               |                 |                     |                |                |                     |                        |
| Total                          | 46 $\pm$ 22   | 16.2 $\pm$ 11.2 | 48.2 $\pm$ 36.5     | 9.1 $\pm$ 6.3  | 0.5 $\pm$ 0.7  | 0.6 $\pm$ 0.5       | 74.6 $\pm$ 52.5 [26]   |
| Margarine, 80% fat             | 21 $\pm$ 21   | 8.2 $\pm$ 8.4   | 29.7 $\pm$ 34.7     | 5.3 $\pm$ 5.9  | 0.4 $\pm$ 0.7  | 0.4 $\pm$ 0.4       | 44.0 $\pm$ 48.6 [15]   |
| Mayonnaise, dressings          | 4 $\pm$ 5     | 3.9 $\pm$ 6.5   | 6.0 $\pm$ 9.2       | 0.7 $\pm$ 0.9  | 0.1 $\pm$ 0.1  | 0 $\pm$ 0.1         | 10.7 $\pm$ 16.6 [4]    |
| Margarine, 40% fat             | 7 $\pm$ 14    | 1.7 $\pm$ 3.4   | 4.3 $\pm$ 8.4       | 1.4 $\pm$ 2.7  | 0              | 0.1 $\pm$ 0.1       | 7.5 $\pm$ 14.7 [3]     |
| Frying fat                     | 4 $\pm$ 8     | 1.5 $\pm$ 3.3   | 4.1 $\pm$ 10.0      | 0.9 $\pm$ 2.3  | 0 $\pm$ 0.1    | 0.1 $\pm$ 0.1       | 6.6 $\pm$ 15.7 [2]     |
| Sunflower oil                  | 1 $\pm$ 2     | 0.4 $\pm$ 1.0   | 2.6 $\pm$ 5.8       | 0.4 $\pm$ 0.9  | 0              | 0 $\pm$ 0.1         | 3.4 $\pm$ 5.2 [1]      |
| Soy oil                        | 1 $\pm$ 2     | 0.3 $\pm$ 1.1   | 0.8 $\pm$ 2.9       | 0.3 $\pm$ 1.0  | 0              | 0 $\pm$ 0.1         | 1.4 $\pm$ 7.8 [1]      |
| Other vegetable oils           | 0 $\pm$ 2     | 0.2 $\pm$ 1.2   | 0.7 $\pm$ 4.0       | 0.1 $\pm$ 0.5  | 0 $\pm$ 0.1    | 0 $\pm$ 0.2         | 1.0 $\pm$ 5.8 [0]      |
| Other                          | 8 $\pm$ 14    | 0               | 0                   | 0              | 0              | 0                   | 0                      |
| Other food items               | —             | 2.4 $\pm$ 2.0   | 9.8 $\pm$ 7.1       | 2.5 $\pm$ 1.9  | 0.3 $\pm$ 0.3  | 0.6 $\pm$ 0.5       | 15.6 $\pm$ 11.3 [6]    |
| Total plant sterol intake      | —             | 52.0 $\pm$ 18.3 | 182.7 $\pm$ 62.8    | 24.9 $\pm$ 9.1 | 10.7 $\pm$ 5.4 | 14.7 $\pm$ 6.5      | 285.0 $\pm$ 96.7 [100] |
| Men                            | —             | 56.8 $\pm$ 19.5 | 195.8 $\pm$ 67.3    | 27.0 $\pm$ 9.7 | 11.6 $\pm$ 6.1 | 16.1 $\pm$ 7.3      | 307.3 $\pm$ 103.9      |
| Women                          | —             | 47.3 $\pm$ 15.8 | 170.0 $\pm$ 55.3    | 22.6 $\pm$ 7.9 | 9.7 $\pm$ 4.5  | 13.3 $\pm$ 5.3      | 262.9 $\pm$ 83.7       |

<sup>1</sup> $\bar{x} \pm$  SD; percentage contribution in brackets.

times in the follow-up period were assumed. Cases were thereby enumerated from the whole cohort of 120852 subjects. Person-years at risk for each quintile of intake were estimated for the 3123 subjects of the subcohort. Rate ratios (RRs) and 95% CIs were calculated separately for each sex with the GLIM statistical package (28). Plant sterol intakes were entered into the models as quintiles of campesterol,  $\beta$ -sitosterol, stigmasterol, campestanol, and  $\beta$ -sitostanol intakes.

RRs for colon and rectal cancers were determined in 3 different multivariate analyses. In the first model, only age was adjusted for. The second model included the following confounding factors: age, smoking (pack-years, ie, the average number of packs of cigarettes smoked/d  $\times$  the number of years smoked), alcohol use, family history of colorectal cancer, education level, and cholecystectomy. The third model included the same confounders as the second model, but an additional adjustment for energy was made. All confounding factors were included as categorical variables, except for age and energy, which were continuous. The etiology of gastrointestinal cancers

depends on sex and the site of the cancer (29). Therefore, men and women and colon and rectal cancers were treated separately. Moreover, effects on different sites of the colon (ie, proximal and distal) were also estimated. Data analysis was performed with and without exclusion of the cases detected in the first year of follow-up. Because fat, meat, and calcium intakes were shown not to influence the risk of colorectal cancer in the Netherlands Cohort Study on Diet and Cancer, these variables were not included in the analyses as confounding factors (30, 31). Dietary fiber and vitamin E intakes were also not included because they are highly correlated with plant sterol intakes. This collinearity would have resulted in imprecise risk estimates that would have been difficult to interpret. Intakes are presented as means  $\pm$  SDs.

## RESULTS

The total intake of the 5 plant sterols in the subcohort was 307.3  $\pm$  103.9 mg/d for men and 262.9  $\pm$  83.7 mg/d for women (Table 1). Men had a 17% higher total intake than did women. The

**TABLE 2**

Pearson correlation coefficients between intakes of selected nutrients and plant sterols for 3123 men and women combined in the subcohort

| Nutrient                | Intake <sup>1</sup> | Campesterol <sup>2</sup> | $\beta$ -Sitosterol <sup>2</sup> | Stigmasterol <sup>2</sup> | Campestanol <sup>2</sup> | $\beta$ -Sitostanol <sup>2</sup> | Total <sup>2</sup> |
|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Energy (kJ)             | 8117 $\pm$ 2176     | 0.68                     | 0.61                             | 0.63                      | 0.38                     | 0.47                             | 0.64               |
| Fat (g)                 |                     |                          |                                  |                           |                          |                                  |                    |
| Total                   | 84 $\pm$ 28         | 0.66 (0.31)              | 0.59 (0.22)                      | 0.63 (0.32)               | 0.30 (0.04)              | 0.59 (0.06)                      | 0.61 (0.24)        |
| Monounsaturated         | 31 $\pm$ 11         | 0.57 (0.14)              | 0.43 (−0.06)                     | 0.52 (0.10)               | 0.18 (−0.15)             | 0.28 (−0.09)                     | 0.46 (−0.02)       |
| Polyunsaturated         | 17 $\pm$ 9          | 0.75 (0.63)              | 0.84 (0.76)                      | 0.86 (0.80)               | 0.42 (0.29)              | 0.42 (0.25)                      | 0.82 (0.74)        |
| Linoleic acid           | 14 $\pm$ 8          | 0.69 (0.59)              | 0.82 (0.77)                      | 0.82 (0.78)               | 0.42 (0.31)              | 0.40 (0.26)                      | 0.80 (0.73)        |
| Carbohydrate, total (g) | 202 $\pm$ 63        | 0.61 (0.26)              | 0.53 (0.22)                      | 0.54 (0.17)               | 0.42 (0.28)              | 0.51 (0.33)                      | 0.59 (0.26)        |
| Fiber (g)               |                     |                          |                                  |                           |                          |                                  |                    |
| Total dietary           | 27 $\pm$ 8          | 0.73 (0.60)              | 0.78 (0.68)                      | 0.68 (0.52)               | 0.76 (0.74)              | 0.81 (0.77)                      | 0.80 (0.72)        |
| Vegetable <sup>3</sup>  | 15 $\pm$ 5          | 0.45 (0.11)              | 0.57 (0.32)                      | 0.56 (0.32)               | 0.23 (0.01)              | 0.30 (0.03)                      | 0.54 (0.27)        |
| Cereal                  | 11 $\pm$ 5          | 0.70 (0.65)              | 0.66 (0.59)                      | 0.49 (0.36)               | 0.97 (0.97)              | 0.98 (0.98)                      | 0.72 (0.68)        |
| $\beta$ -Carotene (mg)  | 2.9 $\pm$ 1.5       | 0.28 (0.12)              | 0.32 (0.23)                      | 0.36 (0.28)               | 0.18 (0.06)              | 0.22 (0.07)                      | 0.32 (0.21)        |
| Vitamin C (mg)          | 102 $\pm$ 44        | 0.24 (0.10)              | 0.41 (0.32)                      | 0.29 (0.19)               | 0.13 (0.03)              | 0.14 (0.02)                      | 0.36 (0.25)        |
| Vitamin E (mg)          | 13 $\pm$ 6          | 0.73 (0.61)              | 0.84 (0.78)                      | 0.84 (0.78)               | 0.42 (0.29)              | 0.42 (0.26)                      | 0.82 (0.74)        |
| Campesterol             | —                   | 1.00 (1.00)              | —                                | —                         | —                        | —                                | —                  |
| $\beta$ -Sitosterol     | —                   | 0.88 (0.81)              | 1.00 (1.00)                      | —                         | —                        | —                                | —                  |
| Stigmasterol            | —                   | 0.85 (0.74)              | 0.90 (0.85)                      | 1.00 (1.00)               | —                        | —                                | —                  |
| Campestanol             | —                   | 0.71 (0.67)              | 0.71 (0.66)                      | 0.53 (0.43)               | 1.00 (1.00)              | —                                | —                  |
| $\beta$ -Sitostanol     | —                   | 0.76 (0.70)              | 0.72 (0.63)                      | 0.57 (0.43)               | 0.98 (0.99)              | 1.00 (1.00)                      | —                  |
| Total sterols           | —                   | 0.93 (0.88)              | 0.99 (0.98)                      | 0.91 (0.85)               | 0.76 (0.74)              | 0.79 (0.73)                      | 1.00 (1.00)        |

<sup>1</sup> $\bar{x} \pm$  SD.<sup>2</sup>Energy-adjusted coefficients in parentheses.<sup>3</sup>Includes dietary fiber from vegetables, legumes, fruit, nuts, and potatoes.

major plant sterol was  $\beta$ -sitosterol, representing 64% of the total intake of the 5 plant sterols, followed by campesterol (18%) and stigmasterol (9%). The plant stanols  $\beta$ -sitostanol and campestanol represented 5% and 4%, respectively, of the total intake. The main dietary sources of plant sterols were bread and vegetable fats (36% and 26% of the total plant sterol intake, respectively), especially breads with a high fiber content, such as brown bread and whole-wheat bread, and margarines containing 80% fat. Worth mention was the low intake of plant sterols ( $\approx$ 2%) from vegetable oils, despite their high plant sterol concentration. There was a high intake of fruit and vegetables, which have low plant sterol concentrations, contributing 10% and 11% of plant sterols, respectively. Overall, it is important to emphasize that plant sterols were present in all food groups, whereas plant stanols came almost exclusively from high-fiber bread. This finding was further supported by the very high correlation coefficients between plant stanols and cereal fiber, which were close to 1, with or without adjustment for energy (Table 2). Non-energy-adjusted Pearson's correlation coefficients between total plant sterol intake and intake of polyunsaturated fat, linoleic acid, total dietary fiber, and vitamin E were high ( $>0.8$ ), although they declined slightly after adjustment for energy (0.72–0.74). Correlation coefficients between energy and the sterols and stanols were intermediate.

The RRs and 95% CIs for colon and rectal cancers showed that results were not significantly different after the cases diagnosed during the first year of follow up were excluded; therefore, the results for the whole follow-up period are presented. Moreover, the results of the only age-adjusted model corresponded very well with the model after age, smoking (pack-years), alcohol use, family history of colorectal cancer, education level, and cholecystectomy were controlled for. One exception was the risk of colon cancer in men, which appeared to be negatively associated with high intakes of stigmasterol (RR: 0.68; 95% CI: 0.46, 0.99) in the highest quintile of intake in the age-adjusted model.

In men and women, there was no clear association between either plant sterol or stanol intake and colon cancer risk in either of the 2 presented models (Table 3). There were 2 exceptions to this in men: there was a positive association for energy-adjusted RRs for stigmasterol ( $P$  for trend = 0.03) and an inverse association for non-energy-adjusted risks for the stanols  $\beta$ -sitostanol ( $P$  for trend = 0.05) and campestanol ( $P$  for trend = 0.08). Colon cancer at proximal sites appeared to be somewhat positively associated with plant sterol intake, although only the association with stigmasterol intake in women was significant (Table 4). No association was found between plant sterol intake and colon cancer at distal sites in men and women, except for the nonsignificant inverse association for stigmasterol in women. Plant stanol intake was not associated with colon cancer at proximal sites. However, both plant stanols were positively associated with distal cancers in men, although only significantly so for  $\beta$ -sitostanol. Nonsignificant inverse associations were observed for plant stanols in women.

In men, there were positive associations between campesterol and stigmasterol intakes and rectal cancer in all models, but there was no association seen for  $\beta$ -sitosterol intake and plant stanol concentrations (Table 5). Except for  $\beta$ -sitostanol, plant sterol and stanol intakes tended to be inversely associated with rectal cancer in women, but the trend was not consistently linear.

## DISCUSSION

To our knowledge this is the first prospective epidemiologic study of plant sterol and stanol intakes in relation to the risk of colorectal cancer. The estimated intake of  $\beta$ -sitosterol, campesterol, and stigmasterol of 259 mg/d in the Netherlands Cohort Study on Diet and Cancer was higher than the average per capita intake of 163 mg/d in the United Kingdom in 1991 (32). The plant stanol intake observed in the present study (26 mg/d) was comparable with the stigmasterol intake (25 mg/d), which was substantial considering that stanols are generally not included in



**TABLE 3**

Rate ratios (RRs) and 95% CIs for colon cancer in quintiles (Q) of plant sterol intake

|                    | Men    |              |                              |                              | Women  |              |                              |                              |
|--------------------|--------|--------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------|--------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
|                    | Intake | No. of cases | RR (CI) model 1 <sup>1</sup> | RR (CI) model 2 <sup>2</sup> | Intake | No. of cases | RR (CI) model 1 <sup>1</sup> | RR (CI) model 2 <sup>2</sup> |
|                    | mg/d   |              |                              |                              | mg/d   |              |                              |                              |
| Campesterol        |        |              |                              |                              |        |              |                              |                              |
| Q1 (low)           | 34     | 65           | 1.00                         | 1.00                         | 30     | 63           | 1.00                         | 1.00                         |
| Q2                 | 45     | 59           | 0.98 (0.66, 1.46)            | 1.06 (0.71, 1.59)            | 38     | 61           | 1.01 (0.68, 1.52)            | 1.04 (0.69, 1.56)            |
| Q3                 | 54     | 68           | 1.06 (0.72, 1.55)            | 1.21 (0.80, 1.83)            | 45     | 52           | 0.87 (0.57, 1.31)            | 0.91 (0.59, 1.40)            |
| Q4                 | 64     | 55           | 0.91 (0.61, 1.37)            | 1.11 (0.71, 1.75)            | 53     | 49           | 0.81 (0.54, 1.24)            | 0.87 (0.54, 1.38)            |
| Q5 (high)          | 81     | 56           | 0.96 (0.64, 1.44)            | 1.28 (0.77, 2.11)            | 68     | 46           | 0.81 (0.53, 1.24)            | 0.89 (0.52, 1.54)            |
| <i>P</i> for trend | —      | —            | 0.72                         | 0.32                         | —      | —            | 0.14                         | 0.45                         |
| β-Sitosterol       |        |              |                              |                              |        |              |                              |                              |
| Q1 (low)           | 119    | 65           | 1.00                         | 1.00                         | 108    | 64           | 1.00                         | 1.00                         |
| Q2                 | 156    | 67           | 0.76 (0.51, 1.13)            | 1.20 (0.81, 1.78)            | 137    | 63           | 0.96 (0.65, 1.42)            | 0.98 (0.66, 1.46)            |
| Q3                 | 186    | 41           | 0.66 (0.44, 0.99)            | 0.69 (0.44, 1.08)            | 161    | 54           | 0.87 (0.58, 1.31)            | 0.90 (0.59, 1.38)            |
| Q4                 | 220    | 67           | 0.72 (0.48, 1.08)            | 1.28 (0.83, 1.96)            | 193    | 37           | 0.60 (0.39, 0.94)            | 0.63 (0.39, 1.05)            |
| Q5 (high)          | 286    | 63           | 0.67 (0.45, 1.02)            | 1.38 (0.86, 2.21)            | 242    | 53           | 0.87 (0.58, 1.32)            | 0.95 (0.57, 1.59)            |
| <i>P</i> for trend | —      | —            | 0.15                         | 0.16                         | —      | —            | 0.10                         | 0.32                         |
| Stigmasterol       |        |              |                              |                              |        |              |                              |                              |
| Q1 (low)           | 16     | 60           | 1.00                         | 1.00                         | 14     | 75           | 1.00                         | 1.00                         |
| Q2                 | 22     | 63           | 1.06 (0.71, 1.58)            | 1.18 (0.78, 1.77)            | 18     | 53           | 1.06 (0.71, 1.60)            | 0.73 (0.51, 1.14)            |
| Q3                 | 26     | 51           | 0.87 (0.57, 1.31)            | 1.01 (0.65, 1.56)            | 22     | 47           | 0.87 (0.57, 1.31)            | 0.67 (0.43, 1.02)            |
| Q4                 | 31     | 59           | 0.99 (0.66, 1.49)            | 1.25 (0.81, 1.94)            | 26     | 49           | 0.99 (0.66, 1.49)            | 0.73 (0.47, 1.15)            |
| Q5 (high)          | 39     | 70           | 1.26 (0.85, 1.86)            | 1.84 (1.14, 2.96)            | 32     | 47           | 1.26 (0.85, 1.86)            | 0.70 (0.41, 1.17)            |
| <i>P</i> for trend | —      | —            | 0.32                         | 0.03                         | —      | —            | 0.32                         | 0.11                         |
| Campestanol        |        |              |                              |                              |        |              |                              |                              |
| Q1 (low)           | 5      | 72           | 1.00                         | 1.00                         | 5      | 69           | 1.00                         | 1.00                         |
| Q2                 | 8      | 62           | 0.85 (0.58, 1.26)            | 0.87 (0.59, 1.28)            | 7      | 47           | 0.77 (0.51, 1.17)            | 0.79 (0.52, 1.21)            |
| Q3                 | 10     | 64           | 0.88 (0.60, 1.30)            | 0.93 (0.63, 1.37)            | 8      | 55           | 0.87 (0.58, 1.30)            | 0.91 (0.60, 1.37)            |
| Q4                 | 14     | 50           | 0.67 (0.45, 1.01)            | 0.71 (0.46, 1.07)            | 10     | 53           | 0.88 (0.58, 1.32)            | 0.92 (0.61, 1.40)            |
| Q5 (high)          | 19     | 55           | 0.79 (0.53, 1.18)            | 0.86 (0.56, 1.31)            | 13     | 47           | 0.83 (0.55, 1.26)            | 0.91 (0.58, 1.43)            |
| <i>P</i> for trend | —      | —            | 0.08                         | 0.22                         | —      | —            | 0.52                         | 0.87                         |
| β-Sitostanol       |        |              |                              |                              |        |              |                              |                              |
| Q1 (low)           | 8      | 69           | 1.00                         | 1.00                         | 7      | 65           | 1.00                         | 1.00                         |
| Q2                 | 12     | 72           | 1.05 (0.72, 1.53)            | 1.07 (0.73, 1.56)            | 10     | 45           | 0.78 (0.51, 1.19)            | 0.80 (0.52, 1.23)            |
| Q3                 | 15     | 61           | 0.88 (0.60, 1.30)            | 0.92 (0.62, 1.36)            | 13     | 61           | 1.04 (0.69, 1.54)            | 1.10 (0.73, 1.65)            |
| Q4                 | 19     | 46           | 0.64 (0.42, 0.98)            | 0.68 (0.44, 1.06)            | 15     | 52           | 0.91 (0.60, 1.38)            | 0.99 (0.64, 1.53)            |
| Q5 (high)          | 25     | 55           | 0.85 (0.57, 1.27)            | 0.92 (0.60, 1.43)            | 20     | 48           | 0.89 (0.59, 1.37)            | 1.02 (0.64, 1.64)            |
| <i>P</i> for trend | —      | —            | 0.05                         | 0.18                         | —      | —            | 0.84                         | 0.62                         |

<sup>1</sup> Adjusted for age, smoking (pack-years), alcohol use, family history of colorectal cancer, education level, and cholecystectomy.<sup>2</sup> Adjusted for age, smoking (pack-years), alcohol use, family history of colorectal cancer, education level, cholecystectomy, and energy intake.

dietary analyses because of their low concentrations in many foods. Different dietary assessment and analytic methods complicate comparisons between countries, but studies performed in countries with different food cultures indicate that variations in food patterns influence total intakes because plant sterols exist in many foods.

Introduction of one plant-sterol-rich source into the diet might not increase the sterol content of the diet considerably; however, overall consumption of foods rich in unsaturated fat and dietary fiber would result in a high intake of plant sterols. This is exemplified by the estimated intake of β-sitosterol and stigmasterol in the general American population (78 mg/d) relative to that in lactoovo-vegetarian Seventh-day Adventists (344 mg/d) in 1984 (5). This high intake in the Seventh-day Adventists is similar to the per capita combined intake of β-sitosterol, campesterol, stigmasterol, and brassicasterol in Japan in 1987 (33). Moreover, 372 semiacculturated Tarahumara Indians in the Sierra Madre,

Mexico, who consumed a diet based on staple foods like corn and beans, also had a total intake of ≈400 mg plant sterols/d (34). In general, it seems that the highest documented plant sterol intakes are not typically found in westernized cultures.

Bread was one important source of all plant sterols in the Netherlands, and the common Dutch habit of eating brown bread for breakfast and lunch might have resulted in a higher intake of sterols than observed in the United Kingdom. The finding that cereal products were important sources of plant sterol intakes in both of these countries is of interest considering the former focus on vegetable oils as important sources because of their high concentrations of plant sterols (1). The present study showed that not only the consumption of foods with a high sterol concentration but also the relatively high consumption of several food groups with a relatively low concentration was an important contributor to plant sterol intakes. For example, a high consumption of fruit and vegetables—which seldom have a concentration

**TABLE 4**Rate ratios (RRs) and 95% CIs for colon cancer in quintiles (Q) of plant sterol intake for proximal and distal colon<sup>1</sup>

|              | Men                         |                           | Women                       |                           |
|--------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
|              | Proximal<br>(n = 140 cases) | Distal<br>(n = 153 cases) | Proximal<br>(n = 137 cases) | Distal<br>(n = 121 cases) |
| Campesterol  |                             |                           |                             |                           |
| Q1 (low)     | 1.00                        | 1.00                      | 1.00                        | 1.00                      |
| Q2           | 0.99 (0.58, 1.68)           | 1.04 (0.61, 1.76)         | 1.09 (0.63, 1.88)           | 1.25 (0.72, 2.16)         |
| Q3           | 1.20 (0.70, 2.05)           | 1.01 (0.58, 1.77)         | 1.31 (0.75, 2.28)           | 0.95 (0.51, 1.75)         |
| Q4           | 0.89 (0.48, 1.64)           | 0.93 (0.51, 1.70)         | 1.35 (0.74, 2.45)           | 0.96 (0.50, 1.84)         |
| Q5 (high)    | 1.14 (0.59, 2.21)           | 1.05 (0.52, 2.13)         | 1.33 (0.67, 2.62)           | 1.06 (0.50, 2.26)         |
| P for trend  | 0.84                        | 0.94                      | 0.28                        | 0.82                      |
| β-Sitosterol |                             |                           |                             |                           |
| Q1 (low)     | 1.00                        | 1.00                      | 1.00                        | 1.00                      |
| Q2           | 1.22 (0.73, 2.03)           | 0.87 (0.51, 1.48)         | 1.20 (0.70, 2.06)           | 1.30 (0.76, 2.22)         |
| Q3           | 0.51 (0.27, 0.97)           | 0.96 (0.55, 1.65)         | 0.88 (0.49, 1.58)           | 0.98 (0.54, 1.76)         |
| Q4           | 1.15 (0.65, 2.03)           | 0.81 (0.43, 1.49)         | 1.49 (0.84, 2.64)           | 0.50 (0.24, 1.04)         |
| Q5 (high)    | 1.27 (0.69, 2.35)           | 1.14 (0.60, 2.20)         | 1.59 (0.84, 3.01)           | 0.96 (0.47, 1.97)         |
| P for trend  | 0.56                        | 0.83                      | 0.10                        | 0.22                      |
| Stigmasterol |                             |                           |                             |                           |
| Q1 (low)     | 1.00                        | 1.00                      | 1.00                        | 1.00                      |
| Q2           | 1.11 (0.64, 1.90)           | 0.94 (0.56, 1.59)         | 1.38 (0.80, 2.37)           | 0.64 (0.37, 1.10)         |
| Q3           | 0.98 (0.55, 1.74)           | 0.77 (0.44, 1.36)         | 1.14 (0.64, 2.06)           | 0.60 (0.34, 1.07)         |
| Q4           | 1.18 (0.67, 2.11)           | 0.97 (0.54, 1.74)         | 1.36 (0.75, 2.50)           | 0.60 (0.33, 1.10)         |
| Q5 (high)    | 1.56 (0.83, 2.95)           | 0.97 (0.50, 1.90)         | 2.52 (1.34, 4.75)           | 0.60 (0.30, 1.21)         |
| P for trend  | 0.21                        | 0.91                      | 0.01                        | 0.11                      |
| Campestanol  |                             |                           |                             |                           |
| Q1 (low)     | 1.00                        | 1.00                      | 1.00                        | 1.00                      |
| Q2           | 0.67 (0.40, 1.14)           | 1.20 (0.70, 2.07)         | 1.20 (0.72, 1.98)           | 0.58 (0.33, 1.02)         |
| Q3           | 1.00 (0.61, 1.64)           | 1.06 (0.60, 1.89)         | 0.87 (0.50, 1.50)           | 0.83 (0.49, 1.40)         |
| Q4           | 0.52 (0.29, 0.93)           | 1.39 (0.80, 2.43)         | 0.97 (0.57, 1.67)           | 0.60 (0.34, 1.07)         |
| Q5 (high)    | 0.90 (0.53, 1.54)           | 1.54 (0.86, 2.77)         | 0.86 (0.48, 1.55)           | 0.58 (0.31, 1.08)         |
| P for trend  | 0.44                        | 0.12                      | 0.42                        | 0.10                      |
| β-Sitostanol |                             |                           |                             |                           |
| Q1 (low)     | 1.00                        | 1.00                      | 1.00                        | 1.00                      |
| Q2           | 1.13 (0.68, 1.89)           | 1.21 (0.69, 2.11)         | 1.16 (0.71, 1.90)           | 0.51 (0.28, 0.93)         |
| Q3           | 1.05 (0.62, 1.79)           | 1.26 (0.71, 2.25)         | 0.81 (0.47, 1.38)           | 1.02 (0.62, 1.69)         |
| Q4           | 0.63 (0.35, 1.16)           | 1.61 (0.91, 2.83)         | 0.78 (0.44, 1.37)           | 0.55 (0.30, 1.02)         |
| Q5 (high)    | 1.12 (0.64, 1.99)           | 1.83 (1.00, 3.37)         | 0.82 (0.45, 1.47)           | 0.59 (0.31, 1.13)         |
| P for trend  | 0.62                        | 0.03                      | 0.19                        | 0.14                      |

<sup>1</sup>Rate ratios were adjusted for age, smoking (pack-years), alcohol use, family history of colorectal cancer, education level, cholecystectomy, and energy intake.

>20–30 mg per 100 g edible product (26)—contributed substantially to total sterol intakes.

Another interesting aspect of the finding that fiber-rich bread is an important source of plant sterols is the possibility that plant sterols are a confounding factor in statistical analyses of the association between colorectal cancer and dietary fiber intakes in epidemiologic studies. Because of the high correlation between sterols and dietary fiber, it is difficult to determine which substances are responsible for the association.

Both increased or decreased risks of colorectal cancer associated with different sources of fiber intake (eg, vegetables, fruit, and cereal) or no associations were described in previous cohort studies (35–39). Whether the conflicting results were due to variations in plant sterol intakes has not been investigated. However, a high correlation between dietary fiber and plant sterol intakes complicates the separation of the effects of both variables.

In the present study, one of the original aims of the Netherlands Cohort Study on Diet and Cancer—to study total fat intake,

fat quality, and dietary fiber intake—was extended to include plant sterol intakes. Prospective, large-scale population studies are very expensive and time consuming, which makes it attractive to use the dietary data for another purpose. Therefore, interest in bioactive substances such as plant sterols, but also flavonoids and phytoestrogens, raises important issues concerning dietary assessments when methods originally designed for another purpose are used. The use of nonvalidated databases based on the use of different analytic methods appears to be one of the major problems. In the present study, a special effort was made to fit the questionnaire with analyzed plant sterol concentrations by using one method only, which increases the probability of high validity. A factor that is not so easily controlled is the variation over time in the composition of certain food items, such as margarines. For example, the price of oils at a given time determines to some extent the type of oil used in the production of margarine. This variation in composition and its effect on plant sterol concentrations of certain foods was not taken into account in the pres-

TABLE 5

Rate ratios (RRs) and 95% CIs for rectal cancer in quintiles (Q) of plant sterol intake

|                    | Men    |              |                              |                              | Women  |              |                              |                              |
|--------------------|--------|--------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------|--------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
|                    | Intake | No. of cases | RR (CI) model 1 <sup>1</sup> | RR (CI) model 2 <sup>2</sup> | Intake | No. of cases | RR (CI) model 1 <sup>1</sup> | RR (CI) model 2 <sup>2</sup> |
|                    | mg/d   |              |                              |                              | mg/d   |              |                              |                              |
| Campesterol        |        |              |                              |                              |        |              |                              |                              |
| Q1 (low)           | 34     | 34           | 1.00                         | 1.00                         | 30     | 21           | 1.00                         | 1.00                         |
| Q2                 | 45     | 40           | 1.27 (0.78, 2.08)            | 1.37 (0.83, 2.27)            | 38     | 23           | 1.12 (0.60, 2.07)            | 1.11 (0.59, 2.08)            |
| Q3                 | 54     | 48           | 1.50 (0.93, 2.42)            | 1.72 (1.03, 2.86)            | 45     | 35           | 1.71 (0.97, 3.02)            | 1.69 (0.92, 3.09)            |
| Q4                 | 64     | 35           | 1.10 (0.66, 1.82)            | 1.33 (0.76, 2.34)            | 53     | 26           | 1.26 (0.69, 2.30)            | 1.23 (0.62, 2.44)            |
| Q5 (high)          | 81     | 44           | 1.46 (0.90, 2.38)            | 1.92 (1.05, 3.53)            | 68     | 11           | 0.54 (0.25, 1.15)            | 0.52 (0.21, 1.29)            |
| <i>P</i> for trend | —      | —            | 0.23                         | 0.06                         | —      | —            | 0.31                         | 0.52                         |
| β-Sitosterol       |        |              |                              |                              |        |              |                              |                              |
| Q1 (low)           | 119    | 39           | 1.00                         | 1.00                         | 108    | 19           | 1.00                         | 1.00                         |
| Q2                 | 156    | 36           | 0.99 (0.60, 1.61)            | 1.03 (0.63, 1.69)            | 137    | 29           | 1.43 (0.79, 2.63)            | 1.42 (0.77, 2.62)            |
| Q3                 | 186    | 43           | 1.09 (0.68, 1.74)            | 1.17 (0.71, 1.92)            | 161    | 32           | 1.64 (0.90, 2.97)            | 1.60 (0.86, 2.97)            |
| Q4                 | 220    | 45           | 1.20 (0.75, 1.91)            | 1.33 (0.79, 2.22)            | 193    | 25           | 1.31 (0.70, 2.45)            | 1.26 (0.62, 2.53)            |
| Q5 (high)          | 286    | 38           | 1.06 (0.65, 1.73)            | 1.22 (0.69, 2.17)            | 242    | 11           | 0.57 (0.27, 1.23)            | 0.54 (0.22, 1.31)            |
| <i>P</i> for trend | —      | —            | 0.52                         | 0.27                         | —      | —            | 0.20                         | 0.33                         |
| Stigmasterol       |        |              |                              |                              |        |              |                              |                              |
| Q1 (low)           | 16     | 38           | 1.00                         | 1.00                         | 14     | 24           | 1.00                         | 1.00                         |
| Q2                 | 22     | 34           | 0.91 (0.56, 1.50)            | 0.97 (0.59, 1.62)            | 18     | 25           | 1.04 (0.58, 1.87)            | 1.05 (0.58, 1.90)            |
| Q3                 | 26     | 43           | 1.16 (0.72, 1.86)            | 1.28 (0.78, 2.09)            | 22     | 24           | 1.00 (0.55, 1.80)            | 1.01 (0.54, 1.88)            |
| Q4                 | 31     | 38           | 1.00 (0.62, 1.63)            | 1.16 (0.69, 1.95)            | 26     | 27           | 1.14 (0.64, 2.03)            | 1.16 (0.61, 2.20)            |
| Q5 (high)          | 39     | 48           | 1.32 (0.83, 2.12)            | 1.68 (0.96, 2.96)            | 32     | 16           | 0.68 (0.35, 1.32)            | 0.71 (0.2, 1.57)             |
| <i>P</i> for trend | —      | —            | 0.18                         | 0.05                         | —      | —            | 0.40                         | 0.67                         |
| Campestanol        |        |              |                              |                              |        |              |                              |                              |
| Q1 (low)           | 5      | 43           | 1.00                         | 1.00                         | 5      | 27           | 1.00                         | 1.00                         |
| Q2                 | 8      | 36           | 0.85 (0.53, 1.37)            | 0.85 (0.53, 1.38)            | 7      | 20           | 0.81 (0.44, 1.48)            | 0.81 (0.44, 1.49)            |
| Q3                 | 10     | 38           | 0.90 (0.56, 1.44)            | 0.93 (0.57, 1.50)            | 8      | 33           | 1.25 (0.73, 2.15)            | 1.26 (0.73, 2.20)            |
| Q4                 | 14     | 37           | 0.90 (0.56, 1.46)            | 0.94 (0.58, 1.52)            | 10     | 24           | 0.93 (0.51, 1.67)            | 0.94 (0.51, 1.72)            |
| Q5 (high)          | 19     | 47           | 1.20 (0.76, 1.90)            | 1.27 (0.79, 2.05)            | 13     | 12           | 0.49 (0.24, 1.00)            | 0.51 (0.24, 1.07)            |
| <i>P</i> for trend | —      | —            | 0.37                         | 0.27                         | —      | —            | 0.15                         | 0.22                         |
| β-Sitostanol       |        |              |                              |                              |        |              |                              |                              |
| Q1 (low)           | 8      | 42           | 1.00                         | 1.00                         | 7      | 21           | 1.00                         | 1.00                         |
| Q2                 | 12     | 33           | 0.81 (0.50, 1.32)            | 0.82 (0.50, 1.35)            | 10     | 30           | 1.52 (0.85, 2.74)            | 1.54 (0.85, 2.77)            |
| Q3                 | 15     | 48           | 1.18 (0.75, 1.85)            | 1.22 (0.77, 1.94)            | 13     | 28           | 1.36 (0.75, 2.47)            | 1.37 (0.74, 2.52)            |
| Q4                 | 19     | 31           | 0.76 (0.46, 1.26)            | 0.81 (0.48, 1.36)            | 15     | 25           | 1.23 (0.66, 2.27)            | 1.24 (0.65, 2.37)            |
| Q5 (high)          | 25     | 47           | 1.25 (0.79, 1.98)            | 1.36 (0.83, 2.24)            | 20     | 12           | 0.63 (0.30, 1.32)            | 0.65 (0.29, 1.44)            |
| <i>P</i> for trend | —      | —            | 0.38                         | 0.24                         | —      | —            | 0.21                         | 0.32                         |

<sup>1</sup> Adjusted for age, smoking (pack-years), alcohol use, family history of colorectal cancer, education level, and cholecystectomy.<sup>2</sup> Adjusted for age, smoking (pack-years), alcohol use, family history of colorectal cancer, education level, cholecystectomy, and energy intake.

ent study because of a lack of information on changes in the brands of products used over the time period studied.

In most experimental studies of the relation between colorectal cancer risk and plant sterol intakes, β-sitosterol was the sterol used and the doses were 10-fold those of usual dietary doses (14–16). It might be argued that a mean intake of 183 mg β-sitosterol/d is too low to show a preventive effect. The observed positive associations were unexpected considering the many experimental studies in animals that showed a preventive effect of sterol intake; however, such positive associations were shown previously. A case-control study also described positive associations between campesterol and stigmasterol intakes and prostate cancer (40). In contrast, lung cancer was inversely associated with higher intakes of plant sterols in a case-control study (41). Campesterol, stigmasterol, campestanol, and β-sitostanol intakes have not been studied experimentally to the same extent as has β-sitosterol intake; therefore, it is difficult to draw any firm conclusions from these positive associations.

The higher risk of colorectal cancer associated with higher intakes of campesterol and stigmasterol observed among men in this study do not necessarily mean that these sterols alone have an unfavorable effect on large-bowel cancer, but that the effect may also depend on intakes of other plant sterols or bioactive substances in the diet that co-exist with the ones being studied. An alternative explanation is that plant sterols inhibit cholesterol absorption. A high intake of cholesterol has been suggested to be associated with an increased risk of colorectal cancer (42, 43). Plant sterols inhibit cholesterol absorption and thereby increase the excretion of cholesterol into the large bowel (13, 44). Because plant sterols are important bioactive substances currently used to lower serum cholesterol in a more efficient way than common dietary means, and mainly exert their effects without being absorbed, the physiologic implications for the human colon and rectum need to be established. In conclusion, a high dietary intake of plant sterols was not associated with a lower risk of colon and rectal cancers in the Netherlands Cohort Study on Diet and Cancer. ✱



We thank the organizers of COST 916, a European Union-financed program on bioactive substances, for creating opportunities of international collaboration; Monica Johnson for technical assistance with the plant sterol analyses; Paresh Dutta for expert advice during the preparation of the plant sterol database; and Sacha van den Crommert for data management.

## REFERENCES

- Weihrauch JL, Gardner JM. Sterol content of foods of plant origin. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1978;73:39–47.
- Dutta PC, Przybylski R, Appelqvist L-Å, Eskin NAM. Formation and analysis of oxidized sterols in frying fat. In: Perkins EG, Erickson MD, eds. *Deep frying. Chemistry, nutrition and practical applications*. Champaign, IL: AOCS Press, 1996:112–50.
- Kocher SP. Influence of processing on sterols of edible vegetable oils. *Prog Lipid Res* 1983;22:161–83.
- Dutta PC, Appelqvist LÅ. Saturated sterols (stanols) in unhydrogenated and hydrogenated edible vegetable oils and in cereal lipids. *J Sci Food Agric* 1996;71:383–91.
- Nair PP, Turjman N, Kessie G, et al. Diet, nutrition intake, and metabolism in populations at high and low risk for colon cancer. Dietary cholesterol,  $\beta$ -sitosterol, and stigmasterol. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1984;40:927–30.
- Cohen BI, Raicht RF. Effects of bile acids on colon carcinogenesis in rats treated with carcinogens. *Cancer Res* 1981;41:3759–60.
- Rao AV, Janezic SA. The role of dietary phytosterols in colon carcinogenesis. *Nutr Cancer* 1992;18:43–52.
- Raicht RF, Cohen BI, Shefer S, Mosbach EH. Sterol balance studies in the rat. Effects of dietary cholesterol and beta-sitosterol on sterol balance and rate-limiting enzymes of sterol metabolism. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1975;388:374–84.
- Kuchodkar BJ, Horlick L, Sodhi HS. Effects of plant sterols on cholesterol metabolism in man. *Atherosclerosis* 1976;23:239–48.
- Uchida K, Takase H, Nomura Y, Takeda K, Takeuchi N, Ishikawa Y. Changes in biliary and fecal bile acids in mice after treatments with diosgenin and beta-sitosterol. *J Lipid Res* 1984;25:236–45.
- Bhattacharyya AK, Eggen DA. Effects of feeding cholesterol and mixed plant sterols on the fecal excretion of acidic steroids in rhesus monkeys. *Atherosclerosis* 1984;53:225–32.
- Andriamiarina R, Laraki L, Pelletier X, Debry G. Effects of stigmasterol-supplemented diets on fecal neutral sterols and bile acid excretion in rats. *Ann Nutr Metab* 1989;33:297–303.
- Normén L, Dutta P, Lia Å, Andersson H. Soy sterol esters and  $\beta$ -sitostanol ester as inhibitors of cholesterol absorption in human small bowel. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2000;71:908–13.
- Raicht RF, Cohen BI, Fazzini EP, Sarwal AN, Takahashi M. Protective effect of plant sterols against chemically induced colon tumors in rats. *Cancer Res* 1980;40:403–5.
- Janezic SA, Rao AV. Dose-dependent effects of dietary phytosterol on epithelial cell proliferation of the murine colon. *Food Chem Toxicol* 1992;30:611–6.
- Awad AB, Hernandez AY, Fink CS, Mendel SL. Effect of dietary phytosterols on cell proliferation and protein kinase C activity in rat colonic mucosa. *Nutr Cancer* 1997;27:210–5.
- Awad AB, Chen YC, Fink CS, Hennessey T.  $\beta$ -Sitosterol inhibits HT-29 human colon cancer cell growth and alters membrane lipids. *Anticancer Res* 1996;16:2797–804.
- Awad AB, Downie A, Fink CS, Kim U. Dietary phytosterol inhibits the growth and metastasis of MDA-MB-231 human breast cancer cells grown in SCID mice. *Anticancer Res* 2000;20:821–4.
- van den Brandt PA, Goldbohm RA, van 't Veer P, Volovics A, Hermus RJ, Sturmans F. A large-scale prospective cohort study on diet and cancer in the Netherlands. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1990;43:285–95.
- van den Brandt PA, Schouten LJ, Goldbohm RA, Dorant E, Hunen PM. Development of a record linkage protocol for use in the Dutch Cancer Registry for Epidemiological Research. *Int J Epidemiol* 1990;19:553–8.
- Goldbohm RA, van den Brandt PA, Dorant E. Estimation of the coverage of the Dutch municipalities by cancer registries and PALGA based on hospital discharge data. *Tijdschr Soc Gezondheidsz* 1994;72:80–4.
- Voorlichtingsbureau voor de Voeding. Nevo table: Dutch food composition table 1986–1987. The Hague: Voorlichtingsbureau voor de Voeding, 1986.
- Goldbohm RA, van den Brandt PA, Brants HA, et al. Validation of a dietary questionnaire used in a large-scale prospective cohort study on diet and cancer. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 1994;48:253–65.
- Goldbohm RA, van 't Veer P, van den Brandt PA, et al. Reproducibility of a food frequency questionnaire and stability of dietary habits determined from five annually repeated measurements. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 1995;49:420–9.
- Jonker D, van der Hoek GD, Glatz JFC, Homan C, Posthumus MA, Katan MB. Combined determination of free esterified and glycosylated plant sterols in foods. *Nutr Rep Int* 1985;32:943–51.
- Normen L, Johnsson M, Andersson H, van Gameren Y, Dutta P. Plant sterols in vegetables and fruits commonly consumed in Sweden. *Eur J Nutr* 1999;38:84–9.
- Volovics A, van den Brandt PA. Methods for the analysis of case-cohort studies. *Biometric J* 1997;39:195–214.
- Baker RJ. GLIM 3.77. Reference manual. Oxford, United Kingdom: Numerical Algorithms Group, 1985.
- Weisburger JH. Causes, relevant mechanisms, and prevention of large bowel cancer. *Semin Oncol* 1991;18:316–36.
- Goldbohm RA, van den Brandt PA, van 't Veer P, et al. A prospective cohort study on the relation between meat consumption and the risk of colon cancer. *Cancer Res* 1994;54:718–23.
- Kampman E, Goldbohm RA, van den Brandt PA, van 't Veer P. Fermented dairy products, calcium, and colorectal cancer in The Netherlands Cohort Study. *Cancer Res* 1994;54:3186–90.
- Morton GM, Lee SM, Buss DH, Lawrance P. Intakes and major dietary sources of cholesterol and phytosterols in the diet. *J Hum Nutr Diet* 1995;8:429–40.
- Hirai K, Shimazu C, Takezoe R, Ozeki Y. Cholesterol, phytosterol and polyunsaturated fatty acid levels in 1982 and 1957 Japanese diets. *J Nutr Sci Vitaminol (Tokyo)* 1986;32:363–72.
- Cerqueira MT, Fry MM, Connor WE. The food and nutrient intakes of the Tarahumara Indians of Mexico. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1979;32:905–15.
- Fuchs CS, Giovanucci EL, Colditz GA, et al. Dietary fiber and the risk of colorectal cancer and adenoma in women. *N Engl J Med* 1999;340:169–76.
- Kato I, Akhmedkhaniv A, Koenig K, Toniolo PG, Shore RE, Riboli E. Prospective study of diet and female colorectal cancer: The New York University Women's Health Study. *Nutr Cancer* 1997;28:276–81.
- Pietinen P, Malila M, Virtanen M, et al. Diet and risk of colorectal cancer in a cohort study of Finnish men. *Cancer Causes Control* 1999;10:387–96.
- Platz EA, Giovanucci E, Rimm EB, et al. Dietary fiber and colorectal adenoma in men. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1997;6:661–70.
- Steinmetz KA, Kushi LH, Bostick RM, Folsom AR, Potter J. Vegetables, fruit, and colon cancer in the Iowa Women's Health Study. *Am J Epidemiol* 1994;139:1–15.
- Strom SS, Yamamura Y, Duphorne CM, et al. Phytoestrogen intake and prostate cancer: a case-control study using a new database. *Nutr Cancer* 1999;33:20–5.
- Mendilaharsu M, De Stefani E, Deneo-Pellegrini H, Carzoglio J, Ronco A. Phytosterols and the risk of lung cancer: a case-control study in Uruguay. *Lung Cancer* 1998;21:37–45.
- Cruse P, Lewin M, Clark CG. Dietary cholesterol is co-carcinogenic for human colon cancer. *Lancet* 1979;1:752–5.
- Tseng TH, Hsu JD, Chu CY, Wang CJ. Promotion of colon carcinogenesis through increasing lipid peroxidation induced in rats by a high cholesterol diet. *Cancer Lett* 1996;100:81–7.
- Heinemann T, Kullak-Ublick GA, Pietruck B, von Bergmann K. Mechanisms of action of plant sterols on inhibition of cholesterol absorption. Comparison of sitosterol and sitostanol. *Eur J Clin Phar-*